# GLOBAL PARTNERSHIP ON NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT BMP Case Study

#### **Overview**

Name: The Right Source and Rate of Potassium (K) for Processing Tomatoes

Location/Terrain: Xinjiang, China

Crop(s): Tomatoes

Nutrient(s): Potassium (K)

Rationale: Due to years of omission of K in nutrient management in production of tomatoes in the region,

yields were restricted by inadequate K.



## Issue(s) of Concern/Challenges:

Farmers often omitted K in nutrient management in the production of tomato crops for years, leading to significant soil K depletion and decreased soil K availability. Therefore, the yield and benefit of processing tomatoes in the northwestern province is often restricted by inadequate K nutrition.

## **Practice Description:**

Test different types of K fertilizer to see which one increases yields in a cost-effective manner.

#### **Practice Objectives:**

Find both the most cost-effective and yield maximizing K containing fertilizer

#### **Outcomes:**

The most common sources of K fertilizer are KCL, KH2PO4, KNO3 and K2SO4. It was concluded that KCL was the most economical source of K.

#### **Significance:**

The use of KCL resulted in an increase in yields and profit.

### **Data/Graphs:**

Table 1. Effect of different sources of K on yield and benefit of processing tomatoes in Xinjiang (2004-2005) (Hu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008).

K source		20	2005			
	Toutunhe farm 1		Tout	unhe farm 5	Toutunhe farm 5	
	Yield,	Income from K	Yield,	Income from K	Yield,	Income from K
	kg/ha	application,	kg/ha	application,	kg/ha	application,
		\$/ha		\$/ha		\$/ha
KCl	78,510	1,144	63,225	655	97,366	407
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	73,350	972	57,900	478	90,725	143
K <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ·2MgSO <sub>4</sub>					90,862	130

Table 2. Effect of different sources of K on yield and benefit of processing tomatoes in Xinjiang (2004-2005) (Hu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008).

	K₂O rate, kg/ha	Yield t/ha	Lycopene, mg/100g	Solids, %	Vitamin C, mg/100g	Income from fertilizer application, \$***
2003*	0	86.1 b**			10.5	
	90	92.6 b			19.2	159
	180	101.3 a			11.1	388
	270	91.7 b			9.2	11
2004*	0	95.1 b	6.1	8.9	8.0	
	90	98.8 b	8.0	8.9	8.3	64
	180	109.0 a	10.5	10.5	9.7	341
	270	95.4 b	8.6	8.5	8.9	-164













For more information, please contact Chuck Chaitovitz at <a href="mailto:chaitovitz@getf.org">chuck.chaitovitz@getf.org</a> or visit <a href="www.gpa.unep.org/">www.gpa.unep.org/</a> index.php/global-partnership-on-nutrient-management.